## Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 034082

50

**ORIGIN SS-25** 

SECRET

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /026 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPM:JSDAVISON:RM APPROVED BY C:HSONNENFELDT

EUR:AAHARTMAN

S/S:JMEALUM

ACDA:HIRSCHFIELD

JCS:GEN.GEORGI

ISA:GEN.SMITH

NSC:MHIGGINS (SUBS)

L/EUR:HRUSSELL

PM:DCAMITTA

----- 031271

R 140059Z FEB 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION GENEVA

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

USMISSION NATO

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

SECDEF

SECRETSTATE 034082

EXDIS; MILITARY ADDEES HANDLE AS SPECAT EXCLUSIVE

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: CSCE, PFOR, XG, PARM

SUBJECT: CSCE: CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES: DISCUSSION

WITH SOVIET REPS

REF: GENEVA 855

GENEVA FOR USDEL CSCE

1. FOLLOWING UP ON YOUR FEBRUARY 5-6 DISCUSSION ON NOTIFISECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 034082

CATION OF MANEUVERS, YOU SHOULD INFORM SOVIETS THAT WE

BELIEVE THE "POLITICAL" APPROACH TO CBMS (PARA 3 REFTEL) IS LIKELY TO BE UNACCEPTABLE TO OUR ALLIES. SPECIFICALLY, PROPOSALS TO THE EFFECT THAT 1) PARTICIPANTS MIGHT NOTIFY ONLY SOME OTHER STATES, E.G., NEIGHBORS AND 2) THAT ENTIRE MATTER COULD BE LEFT TO DISCRETION OF NOTIFYING STATE WOULD NOT BE VIEWED AS SATISFACTORY BY MOST ALLIES OR BY MAJORITY OF NEUTRAL CSCE PARTICIPANTS. AT SAME TIME, YOU MAY REITERATE THAT ALLIES ALREADY HAVE MADE CLEAR THEY ARE READY TO NEGOTIATE ON MANEUVERS TEXT. HOWEVER, SOVIET ACCEPTANCE OF OBJECTIVE PARAMETERS AS WELL AS IDEA THAT ALL PARTICIPANTS WOULD RECEIVE NOTIFICATION SEEMS A MINIMUM BASIS FOR SERIOUS NEGOTIATION BETWEEN EAST AND WEST ON NOTIFICATION OF MANEUVERS.

2. ON MOVEMENTS, YOU SHOULD REPLY THAT SOVIET SUGGESTION FOR REWORDING OF THEIR TEXT STILL SEEMS TO CARRY FOLLOW-UP

IMPLICATIONS AND THUS WOULD BE UNACCEPTABLE TO ALLIES IN VIEW OF WELL KNOWN WESTERN POSITION ON FOLLOW-UP (DANISH-EC NINE TEXT).

3. BEGIN FYI. WE SEE NO ADVANTAGE IN ENCOURAGING SOVIETS TO BELIEVE ALLIES MIGHT BE PREPARED TO DROP THEIR GOAL OF ACHIEVING CLEAR PARAMETERS OR THAT WE COULD INTERCEDE TO THIS END, EVEN THOUGH CANADIAN AND DUTCH PROPOSALS RECENTLY DISCUSSED AMONG ALLIES COULD RESULT IN EVENTUAL ALLIED CON-SENSUS FOR SHARPLY REDUCED NUMERICAL CRITERIA FOR NOTIFYING MANEUVERS. WE PLACE MORE IMPORTANCE ON CREATING A DEFINABLE OBLIGATION TO NOTIFY THAN ON ANY SPECIFIC SET OF PARAMETERS. WITH REGARD TO SOVIET POSITION ON MOVEMENTS, WE RECOGNIZE THAT CONTINUING TO OPPOSE SOVIET PROPOSAL FOR ADDRESSING MOVEMENTS IN SOME FASHION AFTER CONCLUSION OF CSCE RUNS THE RISK THAT WE MAY BE ISOLATED IF ALLIES SHOULD DECIDE TO ACCEPT SOVIET PROPOSAL. HOWEVER, IN VIEW OF OUR PAST POSITION ON MOVEMENTS. WE BELIEVE IT WOULD BE PRE-FERABLE FOR US TO JOIN IN FAVORING THE SOVIET APPROACH ONLY RPT ONLY AFTER IT IS CLEAR THAT SOVIETS WILL PERSIST IN IT. A CLEAR ALLIED CONSENSUS HAS EMERGED IN FAVOR OF SOVIET PROPOSAL, AND ALLIES PRESS US TO JOIN SUCH A CONSENSUS. MEANWHILE, A NEGATIVE US ATTITUDE TOWARD SOVIET SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 034082

APPROACH MIGHT INDIRECTLY ENCOURAGE DIALOGUE BETWEEN SOVIETS AND ALLIES ON OTHER WAYS OF HANDLING MOVEMENTS PROBLEM. END FYI. INGERSOLL

SECRET

	Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006
NNN	
INININ	

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: AGREEMENT DRAFT, ARMS CONTROL MEETINGS, NEGOTIATIONS, MILITARY EXERCISES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 14 FEB 1975 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ellisoob
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE034082

Document Number: 1975STATE034082
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: JSDAVISON:RM

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D750054-0734

From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750241/aaaabkga.tel Line Count: 110 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN SS

**Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: GENEVA 855 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: ellisoob

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 04 APR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <04 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <15 SEP 2003 by ellisoob>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CSCE: CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES: DISCUSSION WITH SOVIET REPS TAGS: PFOR, PARM, XG, US, UR, CSCE To: GENEVA

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006